WRITTEN DECISION - NOT FOR PUBLICATION ECEIVED

ENTERED

DEC 1 9 2005

CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10 In re

11

VERN D. BLANCHARD d/b/a

AMERICAN MULTI-SYSTEMS,

Debtor.

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 96-12037-H7

ORDER DENYING DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Debtor, pro se, submitted a Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting Trustee's Request for Petition of Instructions Concerning Liquidation of Property of the Estate and a Motion for Reconsideration of Order Approving Interim Applications of Trustee's Professionals for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses.

Pursuant to this Court's internal practice and procedure, the Court reviews a motion for reconsideration on the merits before the motion is set for hearing. The Court has reviewed the debtor's motions and finds it inappropriate to set a hearing on either motion.

Debtor fails to mention in either motion the specific subsection of Federal Rule Bankruptcy Procedure 9024 that he is relying upon. Nonetheless, debtor is using his motions for reconsideration to collaterally attack the default judgment in

Adversary No. 99-90357 which is now a final order. It is inappropriate for this Court to reconsider any aspect of that judgment at this late date. Debtor also has previously made the same, or substantially the same, arguments in his pleadings filed in opposition to both matters that he now seeks the Court to reconsider. Debtor fails to set forth any new arguments that would warrant a reconsideration of this Court's prior rulings. Lastly, the debtor's request for reconsideration of the Court's ruling with respect to the GameTech stock is moot since the stock has already been sold pursuant to a bidding procedure in this Court.

In sum, the Court finds there are no grounds for the debtor's request for reconsideration on either matter and, therefore, finds it inappropriate to make the trustee or other parties bear the cost of a response and a hearing. No hearing will be held.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 19, 2005

~ ~

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 325 West F Street, San Diego, California 92101-6991

In re: Bankruptcy Case No. 96-12037-H7

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, a regularly appointed and qualified clerk in the office of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California, at San Diego, hereby certifies that a true copy of the attached document, to wit:

ORDER DENYING DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

was enclosed in a sealed envelope bearing the lawful frank of the bankruptcy judges and mailed to each of the parties at their respective addresses listed below:

Attorney(s) for Trustee:

Gary B. Rudolph, Esq. Sparber Rudolph Annen, APLC 701 "B" Street, Suite 1000 San Diego, CA 92101

Attorney(s) for Scott McMillan:

Charles Kagay, Esq.
Spiegel Liao & Kagay
388 Market Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111

Debtor in Pro Per:

Vern Blanchard 539 Steffy Road Ramona, CA 92065

John Morrell, Esq. Higgs, Fletcher & Mack LLP 401 West "A" Street, Suite 2600 San Diego, CA 92101

Said envelope(s) containing such document was deposited by me in a regular United States Mail Box in the City of San Diego, in said District on December 19, 2005.

Karen Nickerson (Deputy Clerk)

Judicial Assistant to the Honorable John J. Hargrove